

Mission Fulfillment Committee

Update to College Council, May 2019



Education That Works



Why a Mission Fulfillment Committee?

- 2016 Year Seven NWCCU Comprehensive Self-Evaluation report
 - Planning processes were disconnected
 - Strategic priorities / planning – no standing committee support
 - Core Theme Teams – no ongoing structure for sharing between them
 - Executive Team – more engagement in mission fulfillment

What does MFC do?

The Mission Fulfillment Committee oversees the establishment of the college's core themes and related, meaningful indicators, and the institution's integrated assessment of outcomes at the institution, service area, program, and course levels. The committee ensures the integration of strategic, division, and department planning into the college's Mission Fulfillment work. To achieve this purpose the committee ensures that the college designs and implements a robust institutional assessment process that addresses mission fulfillment core themes, strategic priority objectives, and outcomes. The committee also oversees strong processes for connecting assessment results to continuous improvement activities that drive our planning and budgeting processes. The committee is responsible for oversight of all accreditation reporting and compliance.

What does MFC do?

In other words...

Core Themes and Strategic Priority Indicators

Integrated Planning and Budget (incl. assessment support)

Accreditation

Future: action!

Select work, AY18-19

Finalizing Core Theme language

Finalizing Core theme indicators/reports

**Identifying indicators for
Academic Relevance + Innovation**

Shepherding accreditation processes

Core Theme language/indicators

Scorecard!

Core Theme Dashboards!

<https://www.clackamas.edu/ir>

Indicators for Academic Relevance and Innovation

Likely to include indicators around use of advisory group input, assessment results leading to consultation for improvement

Direct measures of innovation have been more challenging!

Shepherding accreditation processes

Annual Report

Summer 2018 Ad Hoc report—removal of two recommendations!

Spring 2019 Mid-Cycle report—draft feedback is positive, with suggestions for year seven

Spring 2019 Ad Hoc report—Commission action TBD, July 2019

Questions?

jason.kovac@clackamas.edu

david.plotkin@clackamas.edu

lisa.nguyen@clackamas.edu